

# Quantum principal $U(1)$ -bundles

## Analysis & synthesis

*University of Bologna*

*May 6, 2024*

**Branimir Ćaćić**

University of New Brunswick, Fredericton

# Approaches to quantum principal bundles

1. T. Brzeziński & S. Majid, *Quantum group gauge theory on quantum spaces*, Commun. Math. Phys. **157** (1993), no. 3, 591–638:

$$\Omega_{P,\text{hor}}^1 := \ker(\text{ver}_{\text{BM}} : \Omega_P^1 \rightarrow \Lambda_H^1 \otimes P) = P \cdot d(P^{\text{co}H}) \cdot P.$$

2. M. Đurđević, *Geometry of quantum principal bundles II*, Rev. Math. Phys. **9** (1997), no. 5, 531–607:

$$\Omega_{P,\text{hor}} := \{\omega \in \Omega_P \mid \Delta_{\Omega_P}(\omega) \in \Omega_P \otimes H\} \supseteq P \cdot \Omega_P^{\text{co}\Omega_H} \cdot P.$$

# Progress towards theoretical synthesis

1. B. Ć., *Classical gauge theory on quantum principal bundles*, arXiv:2108.13789.
2. B. Ć., *Geometric foundations for classical  $U(1)$ -gauge theory on noncommutative manifolds*, arXiv:2301.01749.
3. A. Del Donno, E. Latini, T. Weber, *On the Đurđević approach to quantum principal bundles*, arXiv:2404.07944.

# Basic definitions

Let  $\alpha : U(1) \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a linear representation of  $U(1)$ .

For each  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ , define the  $m^{\text{th}}$  isotypical component

$$V_m := \{v \in V \mid \forall z \in U(1), \alpha_z(v) = z^m v\}.$$

## Assumption

We only consider the case where  $V = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} V_m$ .

Given  $\kappa > 0$ , define  $U(1)$ -equivariant  $\Lambda_\kappa, \partial_\kappa : V \rightarrow V$  by

$$\Lambda_\kappa := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \kappa^m \text{id}_{V_m}, \quad \partial_\kappa := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} 2\pi i [m]_\kappa \text{id}_{V_m},$$

where  $[m]_\kappa := \frac{1-\kappa^m}{1-\kappa}$  if  $\kappa \neq 1$  and  $[m]_\kappa = m$  if  $\kappa = 1$ .

# Basic definitions

A *graded  $*$ -algebra* is an  $\mathbf{N}_0$ -graded unital  $\mathbf{C}$ -algebra  $\Omega$  equipped with  $\mathbf{C}$ -antilinear  $*$  :  $\Omega^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet$ , such that

$$1^* = 1, \quad (\alpha^*)^* = \alpha, \quad (\alpha\beta)^* = (-1)^{\deg(\alpha)\deg(\beta)} \beta^* \alpha^*.$$

A  *$*$ -quasi-differential graded algebra ( $*$ -quasi-DGA)* is a graded  $*$ -algebra  $\Omega$  equipped with  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear  $\nabla : \Omega^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet+1}$ , such that:

$$\nabla(\alpha^*) = \nabla(\alpha)^*, \quad \nabla(\alpha\beta) = \nabla(\alpha)\beta + (-1)^{\deg(\alpha)} \alpha \nabla(\beta).$$

A  *$*$ -differential graded algebra ( $*$ -DGA)* is a  $*$ -quasi-DGA  $(\Omega, d)$ , such that  $d^2 = 0$ .

A  *$*$ -exterior algebra* is a  $*$ -DGA  $(\Omega, d)$ , such that  $\Omega$  is generated as a ring by  $\Omega^0$  and  $d(\Omega^0)$ .

# Invariant $*$ -exterior algebras on $\mathcal{O}(U(1))$

Consider  $\mathcal{O}(U(1)) = \mathbf{C}[z, z^{-1}]$  with

$$(z^m)^* = z^{-m}, \quad \alpha_w(z^m) := w^m z^m.$$

Given  $\kappa > 0$ , construct  $(\Omega_\kappa(U(1)), d)$  from  $\Omega_\kappa^0(U(1)) := \mathcal{O}(U(1))$  by appending  $e_\kappa \in \Omega_\kappa^1(U(1))$  with

$$z^m \cdot e_\kappa = e_\kappa \cdot \kappa^m z^m, \quad e_\kappa^2 = 0, \quad e_\kappa^* = e_\kappa, \quad \alpha_w(e_\kappa) := e_\kappa;$$

$$d(z^m) := e_\kappa \cdot 2\pi i [m]_\kappa z^m, \quad d(e_\kappa) := 0.$$

Then  $(\Omega_\kappa(U(1)), d)$  is a  $U(1)$ -invariant  $*$ -exterior algebra.

## *Remark*

In fact,  $(\Omega_\kappa(U(1)), d)$  defines a complete  $*$ -calculus on  $\mathcal{O}(U(1))$ .

# Chevalley–Eilenberg extensions

Let  $(\Omega, \nabla)$  be a  $U(1)$ -\*-quasi-DGA; let  $\kappa > 0$ .

The  $\kappa$ -deformed Chevalley–Eilenberg extension of  $(\Omega, \nabla)$  is the  $U(1)$ -quasi-DGA  $(CE_\kappa(\Omega), CE_\kappa(\nabla))$ , where:

1.  $CE_\kappa(\Omega)$  is obtained from  $\Omega$  by adjoining  $e_\kappa \in CE_\kappa(\Omega)^1$  with

$$\omega \cdot e_\kappa = (-1)^{\deg(\omega)} e_\kappa \cdot \Lambda_\kappa(\omega), \quad e_\kappa^2 = 0, \quad e_\kappa^* = e_\kappa;$$

2.  $CE_\kappa(\nabla)$  is defined by

$$CE_\kappa(\nabla)(\omega) := e_\kappa \cdot \partial_\kappa(\omega) + \nabla(\omega), \quad CE_\kappa(\nabla)(e_\kappa) := 0;$$

3. the  $U(1)$ -action is extended by defining  $e_\kappa$  to be  $U(1)$ -invariant.

# Differentiable $U(1)$ -actions

Let  $(\Omega, d)$  be a  $U(1)$ -\*-exterior algebra; let  $\kappa > 0$ .

Then  $(\Omega, d)$  is  $\kappa$ -vertical if  $\text{id}_{\Omega^0} : \Omega^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{CE}_{\kappa}(\Omega)^0$  extends to

$$\text{ver} : (\Omega, d) \rightarrow (\mathbf{CE}_{\kappa}(\Omega), \mathbf{CE}_{\kappa}(d)),$$

the vertical coevaluation on  $(\Omega, d)$ .

## Remarks

1. In the commutative case,  $\text{ver}$  is contraction with  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^{\#}$ .
2. Being  $\kappa$ -vertical is completeness WRT  $(\Omega_{\kappa}(U(1)), d)$ .
3. The corresponding vertical map à la Brzeziński–Majid is

$$\text{ver}_{\text{BM}} = (\text{ver} - \text{id})|_{\Omega^1} : \Omega^1 \rightarrow e_{\kappa} \cdot \Omega^0 \cong \mathbf{C}e_{\kappa} \otimes \Omega^0.$$



# Vertical, horizontal, and basic forms

Let  $(\Omega, d)$  be a  $\kappa$ -vertical  $U(1)$ -\*-exterior algebra.

1. The  $U(1)$ -equivariant \*-DGA of *vertical forms* is

$$(\Omega_{\text{ver}}, d_{\text{ver}}) := (CE_{\kappa}(\Omega^{\circ}), CE_{\kappa}(\circ)).$$

2. The  $U(1)$ -invariant graded \*-sub-algebra of *horizontal forms* is

$$\Omega_{\text{hor}} := \ker(\text{ver} - \text{id}) = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \text{ver } \omega = \omega\}.$$

3. The trivially  $U(1)$ -equivariant \*-DGA of *basic forms* is

$$(\Omega_{\text{bas}}, d_{\text{bas}}) := \left( \Omega_{\text{hor}}^{U(1)}, d \Big|_{\Omega_{\text{hor}}^{U(1)}} \right).$$

# Differentiable quantum principal $U(1)$ -bundles

**Definition** (Brzeziński–Majid, Hajac, Đurđević, Beggs–Brzeziński, Beggs–Majid, Ć.)

Given  $\kappa > 0$ , a  $\kappa$ -differentiable quantum principal  $U(1)$ -bundle is a  $\kappa$ -vertical  $U(1)$ - $*$ -exterior algebra  $(\Omega, d)$ , such that:

1. there exist finite families  $(e_i)_{i=1}^m$  and  $(\epsilon_j)_{j=1}^n$  in  $(\Omega^\circ)_1$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m e_i e_i^* = 1 = \sum_{j=1}^n \epsilon_j^* \epsilon_j$ ;
2.  $\Omega_{\text{bas}}$  is generated by  $\Omega_{\text{bas}}^\circ$  and  $d(\Omega_{\text{bas}}^\circ)$ ;
3.  $\Omega_{\text{hor}} = \Omega^\circ \cdot \Omega_{\text{bas}} \cdot \Omega^\circ$ .

Condition 1 implies that  $\Omega^\circ$  is a principal  $\mathcal{O}(U(1))$ -comodule algebra and  $(\Omega_{\text{ver}}, d_{\text{ver}})$  is a  $*$ -exterior algebra.

Condition 2 implies that  $(\Omega_{\text{bas}}, d_{\text{bas}})$  is a  $*$ -exterior algebra.

# Structure of horizontal forms

Let  $(\Omega, d)$  be a  $\kappa$ -differentiable quantum principal  $U(1)$ -bundle.

**Proposition (Beggs–Majid, Cor. 5.53)**

In fact,  $\Omega_{\text{hor}} = \Omega^\circ \cdot \Omega_{\text{bas}}$ .

**Definition (Đurđević, Beggs–Majid, Č.)**

The Fröhlich automorphism of  $(\Omega, d)$  is the unique  $U(1)$ -equivariant automorphism  $\Phi$  of  $(Z\Omega_{\text{bas}}, d_{\text{bas}})$ , such that

$$\forall m \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall p \in (\Omega^\circ)_m, \forall \beta \in Z\Omega_{\text{bas}}, \quad p \cdot \beta = \Phi(\beta) \cdot p.$$

**Question**

What is the relationship between the Fröhlich automorphism and the Đurđević braiding à la Del Donno–Latini–Weber?

# Examples

1. Let  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ , and let  $(\Omega_\theta(\mathbf{S}^3), d)$  be the  $\theta$ -deformed de Rham calculus on  $C_\theta^\infty(\mathbf{S}^3)$ . Then  $(\Omega_\theta(\mathbf{S}^3)^{\text{alg}}, d)$  is a 1-differentiable quantum principal  $U(1)$ -bundle with

$$(\Omega_\theta(\mathbf{S}^3)_{\text{bas}}^{\text{alg}}, d_{\text{bas}}) = (\Omega(\mathbf{CP}^1), d)$$

and  $\Phi$  given by rotation of  $\mathbf{CP}^1 \cong \mathbf{S}^2$  by  $2\pi\theta$ .

2. Let  $q \in (0, 1)$ , let  $(\Omega_q(\mathbf{S}^3), d)$  be the 3-dimensional calculus on  $\mathcal{O}_q(\text{SU}(2))$ , and let  $(\Omega_q(\mathbf{CP}^1), d)$  be the 2-dimensional calculus on  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbf{CP}^1)$ . Then  $(\Omega_q(\mathbf{S}^3), d)$  is a  $q^2$ -differentiable quantum principal  $U(1)$  bundle with

$$(\Omega_q(\mathbf{S}^3)_{\text{bas}}, d_{\text{bas}}) \cong (\Omega_q(\mathbf{CP}^1), d)$$

and  $\Phi$  uniquely determined by  $\Phi(i e^+ e^-) = q^2 i e^+ e^-$ .

# Principal connections

*Definition (Brzeziński–Majid, Hajac, Đurđević, Beggs–Majid, Ć)*

Let  $(\Omega, d)$  be a  $\kappa$ -differentiable quantum principal  $U(1)$ -bundle.

1. A *connection* on  $(\Omega, d)$  is a  $U(1)$ -equivariant surjective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Pi : \Omega^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{hor}}^\bullet$ , such that  $\Pi^2 = \Pi$  and

$$\forall \omega \in \Omega^1, \quad (\text{id} - \Pi)(\omega)^2 = 0.$$

2. A *connection 1-form* on  $(\Omega, d)$  is  $U(1)$ -invariant self-adjoint  $\vartheta \in \Omega^1$ , such that

$$\alpha \cdot \vartheta = (-1)^{\deg(\alpha)} \vartheta \cdot \mathcal{L}_\kappa(\alpha), \quad \text{ver}(\vartheta) = e_\kappa + \vartheta.$$

The set of connection 1-forms, if non-empty, is an affine space with space of translations  $\{\omega \in Z\Omega_{\text{bas}}^2 \mid \omega^* = \omega\}$ .

# Principal connections

## *Proposition (Brzeziński–Majid, Đurđević, Ć.)*

*Let  $(\Omega, d)$  be a  $\kappa$ -differentiable quantum principal  $U(1)$ -bundle. For every connection  $\Pi$ , there exists a unique connection 1-form  $\vartheta$ , such that*

$$\forall p \in \Omega^\circ, \quad (\text{id} - \Pi) \circ d(p) = \vartheta \cdot \partial_\kappa(p),$$

*and vice versa. In that case,  $\Omega^\bullet = \Omega_{\text{hor}}^\bullet \oplus \vartheta_\Pi \cdot \Omega_{\text{hor}}^{\bullet-1}$ .*

A connection  $\Pi$  restricts on  $\Omega^1$  to a  $*$ -preserving strong bimodule connection à la Brzeziński–Majid, Hajac, and Beggs–Majid.

A connection 1-form  $\vartheta$  corresponds to a multiplicative regular connection à la Đurđević.

# Curvature

## *Proposition (Đurđević, Ć.)*

Let  $(\Omega, d)$  be a  $\kappa$ -differentiable quantum principal  $U(1)$ -bundle; let  $\Phi$  be its Fröhlich automorphism. Let  $\Pi$  be a connection on  $(\Omega, d)$ ; let  $\vartheta_\Pi$  be its connection 1-form.

1. Let  $\mathcal{F}_\Pi := -d(\vartheta_\Pi)$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_\Pi$  is closed, self-adjoint, basic, and central in  $\Omega_{\text{bas}}$ , and  $\Phi(\mathcal{F}_\Pi) = \kappa\mathcal{F}_\Pi$ .
2. Let  $d_{\text{hor}} := \Pi \circ d$ . Then  $(\Omega_{\text{hor}}, d_{\text{hor}})$  is a  $U(1)$ -equivariant  $*$ -quasi-DGA, and  $d_{\text{hor}}^2(\omega) = \mathcal{F}_\Pi \cdot \partial_\kappa(\omega)$  for  $\omega \in \Omega_{\text{hor}}$ .

We call  $\mathcal{F}_\Pi$  the *curvature* of the connection  $\Pi$  on  $(\Omega, d)$ .

## *Example*

The curvature of the  $q$ -monopole on  $(\Omega_q(\mathbf{S}^3)_{\text{bas}}, d_{\text{bas}})$  is  $\frac{1}{2\pi}ie^+e^-$ .

# Gysin sequence in de Rham cohomology

*Theorem (Bouwknegt–Hanabuss–Mathai, Ć.)*

*Let  $(\Omega, d)$  be a  $\kappa$ -differentiable quantum principal bundle with connection  $\Pi$ . There is a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^k(\Omega_{\text{bas}}) \xrightarrow{\cdot[\mathcal{F}_\Pi]} H^{k+2}(\Omega_{\text{bas}}) \rightarrow H^{k+2}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\int} H^{k+1}(\Omega_{\text{bas}}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

where  $\int[\omega_1 + \vartheta_\Pi \cdot \omega_2] := \left[ \int_{U(1)} \alpha_z(\omega_2) d(z) \right]$ .

## *Example*

Use NC Hodge theory à la Prague on  $(\Omega_q(\mathbf{CP}^1), d)$  and Gysin with respect to the  $q$ -monopole to get an easy proof that

$$H^0(\Omega_q(\mathbf{S}^3)) = \mathbf{C}, \quad H^3(\Omega_q(\mathbf{S}^3)) = \mathbf{C}[e^0 e^+ e^-], \quad H^k(\Omega_q(\mathbf{S}^3)) = \mathbf{o} \text{ else.}$$



# Horizontal calculi

Let  $P$  be a *quantum topological principal*  $U(1)$ -bundle with base  $B$ , i.e.,  $P$  is a  $U(1)$ -\*-algebra admitting finite families  $(e_i)_{i=1}^m$  and  $(\epsilon_j)_{j=1}^n$  in  $(\Omega^\circ)_1$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m e_i e_i^* = 1 = \sum_{j=1}^n \epsilon_j^* \epsilon_j$ .

Let  $(\Omega_B, d_B)$  be a \*-exterior algebra on  $B := P^{U(1)}$  with  $\Omega_B^\circ = B$ .

## Definition (Đurđević, Ć.)

A *horizontal calculus* for  $P$  with respect to  $(\Omega_B, d_B)$  is a  $U(1)$ -equivariant \*-quasi-DGA  $(\Omega_{P,\text{hor}}, d_{P,\text{hor}})$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{P,\text{hor}}^\circ &= P, & (\Omega_{P,\text{hor}}^{U(1)}, d_{P,\text{hor}} \big|_{\Omega_{P,\text{hor}}^{U(1)}}) &= (\Omega_B, d_B), \\ \Omega_{P,\text{hor}} &= P \cdot \Omega_B \cdot P.\end{aligned}$$

# Associated line bundles

## Theorem (Ć.)

1. Hermitian line  $B$ -bimodules with Hermitian bimodule connections WRT  $(\Omega_B, d_B)$  form a coherent 2-group  $\text{DPIC}(B)$  on the nose.
2. The mapping  $m \mapsto (P_m, d_{P,\text{hor}} \mid_{P_m})$  defines a homomorphism of coherent 2-groups  $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \text{DPIC}(B)$ .
3. For every object  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$  of  $\text{DPIC}(B)$ , there exists an essentially unique quantum topological principal  $U(1)$ -bundle  $P$  with base  $B$  and horizontal calculus  $(\Omega_P, d_{P,\text{hor}})$  for  $P$  WRT  $(\Omega_B, d_B)$ , such that

$$(\mathcal{L}, \nabla) \cong (P_1, d_{P,\text{hor}} \mid_{P_1}).$$

In other words,

$$(\Omega_{P,\text{hor}}, d_{P,\text{hor}}) \cong (\Omega_B, d_B) \rtimes_{(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)} \mathbf{Z}, \quad (\mathcal{L}, \nabla) \cong (P_1, d_{P,\text{hor}} \mid_{P_1}).$$

# Curvature data

For convenience, let  $\mathcal{S}(B) := \{\omega \in Z\Omega_B^2 \mid \omega^* = \omega, d_B(\omega) = 0\}$ .

## Definition (Đurđević, Ć.; cf. Beggs–Majid)

Let  $(\Omega_{P,\text{hor}}, d_{P,\text{hor}})$  be a horizontal calculus for  $P$  WRT  $(\Omega_B, d_B)$ .

1. Its *Fröhlich automorphism* is the unique  $U(1)$ -equivariant automorphism  $\Phi_P$  of  $(Z\Omega_B, d_B)$ , such that

$$\forall m \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall p \in P_m, \forall \beta \in Z\Omega_B, \quad p \cdot \beta = \Phi_P(\beta) \cdot p.$$

2. Its *curvature 1-cocycle* is the unique 1-cocycle  $\mathcal{F}_P : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(B)$  for the left  $\mathbf{Z}$ -action generated by  $\Phi_P|_{\mathcal{S}(B)}$ , such that

$$\forall m \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall \omega \in (\Omega_{P,\text{hor}})_m, \quad d_{P,\text{hor}}^2(\omega) = 2\pi i \mathcal{F}_P(m) \cdot \omega.$$

Hence, its *curvature data* is  $(\Phi_P, \mathcal{F}_P)$ .

# Curvature data

## *Example*

Let  $(\Omega, d)$  be a  $\kappa$ -differentiable quantum principal  $U(1)$ -bundle with connection  $\Pi$ .

Let  $d_{\text{hor}} := \Pi \circ d \big|_{\Omega_{\text{hor}}}$ , so that  $(\Omega_{\text{hor}}, d_{\text{hor}})$  defines a horizontal calculus for  $\Omega^\circ$  with respect to  $(\Omega_{\text{bas}}, d_{\text{bas}})$ .

1. The Fröhlich automorphism  $\Phi_{\Omega^\circ}$  of  $(\Omega_{\text{hor}}, d_{\text{hor}})$  is the Fröhlich automorphism  $\Phi$  of  $(\Omega, d)$ .
2. The curvature 1-cocycle  $\mathcal{F}_{\Omega^\circ}$  of  $(\Omega_{\text{hor}}, d_{\text{hor}})$  is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\Omega^\circ}(m) = [m]_\kappa \mathcal{F}_\Pi.$$

In particular,  $\Phi_{\Omega^\circ}(\mathcal{F}_{\Omega^\circ}(1)) = \kappa \mathcal{F}_{\Omega^\circ}(1)$ .

# Vertical deformation parameter

Let  $(\Omega_{P,\text{hor}}, d_{P,\text{hor}})$  be a horizontal calculus for  $P$  WRT  $(\Omega_B, d_B)$ .

1. We have  $d_{P,\text{hor}}^2 = \mathfrak{o}$  IFF  $\mathcal{F}_P = \mathfrak{o}$ , IFF  $\mathcal{F}_P(\mathbf{1}) = \mathfrak{o}$ ; in this case,  $(\Omega_{P,\text{hor}}, d_{P,\text{hor}})$  is *flat*.
2. Suppose that  $(\Omega_{P,\text{hor}}, d_{P,\text{hor}})$  is not flat. Given  $\kappa > \mathfrak{o}$ , we have  $d_{P,\text{hor}}^2 = \mathcal{F}_P(\mathbf{1}) \cdot \partial_\kappa(\cdot)$  IFF  $\mathcal{F}_P = (m \mapsto [m]_\kappa \mathcal{F}_P(\mathbf{1}))$ , IFF

$$\Phi_P(\mathcal{F}_P(\mathbf{1})) = \kappa \mathcal{F}_P(\mathbf{1}).$$

In this case,  $\kappa$  is the *vertical deformation parameter* of the horizontal calculus  $(\Omega_{P,\text{hor}}, d_{P,\text{hor}})$ .

# Vertical deformation parameter

## Example

Let  $\theta \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$  be quadratic with square-free discriminant.

Let  $\epsilon_\theta = c\theta + d \in \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}\theta$  be the *norm-positive fundamental unit* of the real quadratic number field  $\mathbf{Q}[\theta]$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the *basic Heisenberg module* on  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{T}^2)$  of rank  $\epsilon_\theta$  and degree  $c$ ; let  $\nabla$  be its canonical constant curvature connection.

Then  $(\Omega_\theta(\mathbf{T}^2), d) \rtimes_{(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)} \mathbf{Z}$  has curvature data  $(\Phi, \mathcal{F})$  defined by

$$\Phi = \bigoplus_{m=0}^2 \epsilon_\theta^m \text{id}_\wedge \mathbf{R}^2, \quad \mathcal{F}(m) = [m]_{\epsilon_\theta^2} c e^1 e^2,$$

hence, vertical deformation parameter  $\epsilon_\theta^2 > 1$ .

# Synthesis of total calculi

## *Theorem (Đurđević, Č.)*

*Let  $(\Omega_{P,\text{hor}}, d_{P,\text{hor}})$  be a horizontal calculus for  $P$  WRT  $(\Omega_B, d_B)$ . Let  $\kappa > 0$  be given, and suppose that  $(\Omega_{P,\text{hor}}, d_{P,\text{hor}})$  is flat or has deformation parameter  $\kappa$ . There exists essentially unique  $\kappa$ -differentiable quantum principal  $U(1)$ -bundle with connection  $(\Omega_P, d_P; \Pi_P)$ , such that*

$$\Omega_P^\circ = P, \quad ((\Omega_P)_{\text{hor}}, (d_P)_{\text{hor}}) \cong (\Omega_{P,\text{hor}}, d_{P,\text{hor}}).$$

*Without loss of generality,  $\Omega_P = \text{CE}_\kappa(\Omega_{P,\text{hor}})$ ,  $\Pi_P$  is projection on  $\Omega_{P,\text{hor}}$  along  $e_\kappa \cdot \Omega_{P,\text{hor}}$ , and*

$$d_P(\omega) = e_\kappa \cdot \partial_\kappa(\omega) + d_{P,\text{hor}}(\omega), \quad d_P(e_k) = -\mathcal{F}_P(1).$$